

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,622	07/10/2003	Daniel M. Lafontaine	29985/02-332	3366
57726 7590 10/18/2007 MILLER, MATTHIAS & HULL ONE NORTH FRANKLIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			YABUT, DIANE D	
SUITE 2350 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/616,622	LAFONTAINE, DANIEL M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Diane Yabut	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,13,17-29 and 31-41 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10, 13, 17-29, 31-41 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Dale	

Application/Control Number: 10/616,622

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received 26 July 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 13, 17-29, and 31-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lafontaine et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,964,782) in view of Redmond et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,334,865) and Linden et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,270,515). Claims 1, 16-20, 26, 33, and 34: Lafontaine et al. discloses a closure device comprising an elongate delivery member 334 having a distal end and a proximal end and a closure component 344 removably connected to the distal end of the delivery member, the closure component including a collapsible backing, movable between a non-collapsed position and a collapsed position, and a plurality of fibrous tissue engaging members disposed on the backing and oriented in a non-engaging orientation when traveling in a distal direction and in an engaging orientation when traveling in a proximal direction, the fibrous tissue engaging members entangling the backing when the backing is in the collapsed position (Figure 34A and col. 17, lines 39-51). Lafontaine et al. also discloses a method of closing an opening 332 in a body comprising inserting distally through the opening a closure component 344 having

collapsible pile backing with pile engaging hooks and tissue engaging hooks disposed thereon, withdrawing the closure component 344 proximally relative to the opening such that the tissue engaging hooks engage tissue adjacent the opening, and collapsing the collapsible pile backing so the pile engaging hooks engage portions of the pile backing (col. 17, lines 22-50). Lafontaine discloses the claimed device *except* for the backing having a generally conical shape with a center portion of the backing distally spaced from a periphery of the backing, and the backing center portion being collapsed proximally toward the backing periphery to have a generally disc shape, and manipulating a collapse actuator releasably coupled to the collapsible pile backing under to a more proximal position under proximally directed force from the non-collapsed position to the collapsed position, and disconnecting the collapse actuator from the collapsible pile backing.

Redmond et al. teaches a backing 26 having a generally conical shape with a center portion of the backing distally spaced from a periphery of the backing, and the backing center portion being collapsed proximally toward the backing periphery to have a generally disc shape which is retained in the collapsed position by engaging hooks (Figures 1-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the conical shaped-backing that collapses proximally into a disc shape, as taught by Redmond et al., to Lafontaine et al. since it was known in the art that closure devices with conical, elongate configurations more conveniently fit and

maneuver through delivery sheaths and body lumens, and that collapsing to a disc shape offers more surface area coverage for closure of a body lumen.

Linden et al. teaches manipulating a collapse actuator 524 releasably coupled to a collapsible backing 512 and operable to move the collapsible backing or closure component and operable to move the distal end of the collapsible backing under to a more proximal position under proximally directed force from a non-collapsed position to a collapsed position and disconnecting the collapse actuator from the collapsible pile backing (Figures 17-20, col. 11, lines 13-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a collapse actuator releasably coupled to a collapsible backing, as taught by Linden et al., to Lafontaine et al. since it was known in the art that if a closure element is to remain in the tissue, an actuating mechanism must be effectively releasably coupled from the closure element to be effectively manipulated and subsequently detached after deployment.

Claims 2, 3, and 35: Lafontaine et al. discloses a backing formed in a generally elongate conformation, along a generally longitudinal axis of the backing, in the non-collapsed position, and the backing collapsed generally along the longitudinal axis when in the collapsed position (Figures 35 and 37B).

Claims 4, 5, 36 and 37: Lafontaine et al. discloses the fibrous tissue engaging members forming proximally facing hooks that are spaced along the backing from a proximal portion thereof to a distal portion thereof when the backing is in the non-collapsed position (Figure 35).

Claims 6 and 38: Lafontaine et al. discloses the hooks entangle in the backing located proximal of the hooks as the backing moves from the non-collapsed position to the collapsed position (col. 17, lines 38-43 and col. 18, lines 24-29).

Claims 7 and 39: Lafontaine et al. discloses the body cavity 332 is defined by generally smooth tissue and has fibrous tissue proximal thereof and wherein at least a subset of the plurality of hooks 4 are oriented to engage the fibrous tissue as the hooks travel in a proximal direction relative to the fibrous tissue (Figures 34A-34C and col. 17, lines 27-42).

Claims 8-10: Lafontaine et al. and discloses the claimed device, including a closure component having a first row of hooks disposed about a proximal end thereof including tissue piercing hooks that pierce tissue and pass along the generally smooth tissue without engaging the generally smooth tissue and engage the fibrous tissue as the closure component is moved proximally relative thereto (Figure 34A-34C and col. 19, lines 54-67), except for the closure component being generally conical.

Redmond et al. teaches the closure component being generally conical (Figures 1-3) and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a conical closure component, as taught by Redmond et al., to Lafontaine et al. since it was known in the art that closure devices with conical, elongate configurations more conveniently fit and maneuver through delivery sheaths and body lumens

Claim 13: Lafontaine et al. discloses an active actuator 388 having a distal engaging end disconnectably connecting the closure component to the delivery member and a

proximal end receiving an actuation input and actuating the distal engaging end to release the closure component in response to the actuation input (col. 20, lines 14-19).

Claims 17-21: Lafontaine et al. and Linden et al. discloses the claimed device, including a collapse actuator (Linden et al.), except for the collapse actuator being received within a distal aperture in the closure component and the collapse actuator having a deformable hook at the distal end of the elongate member, the deformable hook being located distal of the distal aperture when the closure component is in the non-collapsed position, the deformable hook moving a distal end of the closure component to a more proximal position to collapse the closure component under proximally directed force applied to the elongate member, and the deformable hook deforming to pass through the distal aperture in the closure component.

Redmond et al. teaches a deformable hook, or wire, 22 at the distal end of an collapse actuator 20 that is received within a distal aperture in the closure component 26 and grasps the closure component and moves a distal end of the closure component to a more proximal position to collapse the closure component under proximally directed force applied to the elongate member and wherein the deformable hook is located distal of the distal aperture in the closure component 22 when the closure component is in the non-collapsed form, the deformable hook 22 capable of deforming to pass through the distal aperture in the closure component 22 after the closure component 22 has moved to the collapsed position under continued application of proximally directed force on the collapse actuator 20 (Figures 1-4, col. 26-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a deformable hook at the distal end of the elongate

member, as taught by Redmond et al., to Lafontaine et al. and Linden et al., since it was known in the art that a deformable hooks are flexible and useful in manipulating devices in blood vessels and moving through the distal ends of elongate members, or actuators.

Claims 22-23: Lafontaine et al. discloses the wire 334 comprising a frangible, mechanically releasable connection to the distal end of the closure component (col. 20, lines 14-19).

Claims 24 and 25: Lafontaine et al. discloses the closure component is formed of a biocompatible, bioabsorbable material (col. 4, lines 62-67).

Claim 27: Lafontaine et al. discloses inserting the closure component **344** with an elongate delivery member **334**, the closure component **344** being disposed at a distal end of the delivery member **334** (col. 17, lines 31-38).

Claim 28: Lafontaine et al. discloses disconnecting the closure component **344** from the distal end of the delivery member **334** (col. 18, lines 30-35).

Claim 29: Lafontaine et al. discloses exerting proximally directed force on the delivery member 334 after collapsing the collapsible pile (col. 18, lines 2-12).

Claim 31: Lafontaine et al. discloses the tissue engaging hooks being comprised of tissue piercing hooks that pierce the tissue when the tissue is engaged (col. 17, lines 38-40).

Claim 32: Lafontaine et al. discloses the opening 332 is in a body cavity defined by media and having adventitia adjacent thereto and wherein withdrawing comprises withdrawing the tissue engaging hooks proximally past the media to engage the adventitia (Figures 34A-34C and col. 17, lines 27-42).

<u>Claims 40-41</u>: Lafontaine et al. discloses the fibrous tissue engaging members and the backing being disposed on a same surface of the closure component comprising an exterior surface of the closure component (Figure 34A).

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-10, 13, 17-29, and 31-41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- The examiner also would like to clarify that the Office action submitted April 17, 2007 was indeed a non-final action, and the last paragraph of page 8 should not have been inserted.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,622 Page 9

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER